## Alumina-Based Water-Dispersible Conducting Nanocomposites of Polypyrrole and Polypyrrole with Poly(*N*-vinylcarbazole)

## Arjun Maity, Mukul Biswas

Department of Chemistry, Presidency College, Calcutta 700073, India

Received 31 July 2002; accepted 19 February 2003

**ABSTRACT:** Water-dispersible nanocomposites of polypyrrole with Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (PPY–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) and of poly(*N*-vinylcarbazole) with Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (PNVC–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) were prepared in an aqueous medium. Nanocomposites involving binary polymer systems—PNVC–(PPY–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) and PPY–(PNVC–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) were prepared by precipitating PNVC or PPY onto a suspension of PPY–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> or PNVC–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, respectively. The incorporation of the polymers in the composites was confirmed by Fourier transform infrared analyses. Scanning electron microscopy analyses revealed distinct morphological features of the composites. Transmission electron microscopy analyses of the PPY–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, PNVC–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and PPY– (PNVC– Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) composites confirmed the formation of spherical particles in the nanometer range. Thermogravimetric and differential thermal analyses revealed the stability order as: PNVC–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> > PNVC–(PPY–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) > PPY–

## INTRODUCTION

Specialty polymers such as polypyrrole (PPY), polyaniline (PANI), and polythiophene (PTP) display outstanding conductivity and other bulk properties but suffer from processibility limitations because of their intractable nature. Considerable research attention globally has been directed to obtaining processible dispersion of these intractable specialty polymers. Armes et al.<sup>1-6</sup> developed a large variety of PPY- or PANI-based water-dispersible nanocomposites using colloidal SiO<sub>2</sub> and other nanodimensional metal oxides. Biswas and SinhaRay reported on the preparation and evaluation of nanocomposites of PANI, PPY, and poly(*N*-vinylcarbazole) (PNVC) with montmorillonite clay<sup>7-11</sup> and with nanodimensional metal oxides such as SiO<sub>2</sub>,<sup>12</sup> MnO<sub>2</sub>,<sup>13</sup> and ZrO<sub>2</sub>.<sup>14</sup> (PNVC–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) > PPY–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Direct current conductivity values of the PPY–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, PNVC–(PPY–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>), and PPY–(PNVC–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) composites were on the order of 2.8 × 10<sup>-4</sup>, 1.7 × 10<sup>-5</sup>, and 4.5 × 10<sup>-6</sup> (S/cm), respectively. These nanocomposites dispersed in water, with stability decreasing in the order: PPY–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (~40 h) > PNVC–(PPY–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) (30 h) > PPY–(PNVC–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) (20 h), and in the presence of polyvinylpyrrolidone a permanently stable suspension was obtained in all cases. The dispersibility appeared to be higher when the polymerization was conducted in a suspension containing a higher amount of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. © 2003 Wiley Periodicals, Inc. J Appl Polym Sci 90: 1058–1065, 2003

**Key words:** nanocomposite; nanodimensional alumina; polypyrrole; poly(*N*-vinylcarbazole); conductivity; water dispersibility

In the course of our ongoing research in this area, we recently have developed a simple method for preparing a nanocomposite of PNVC with nanodimensional alumina<sup>15</sup> by depositing PNVC from its solution in tetrahydrofuran (THF) on a dispersion of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in a water medium. We have since been able to extend these procedures to preparing water-dispersible nanocomposites of mixed polymer systems, such as polypyrrole-poly(N-vinylcarbazole) (PPY-PNVC). Thus, by adding pyrrole monomer to a suspension of poly(N-vinylcarbazole)-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (PNVC-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) composite in water prepared as above<sup>15</sup> in the presence of FeCl<sub>3</sub>, a PPY-(PNVC-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) composite could be readily prepared. Similarly, by adding preformed PNVC to a suspension of polypyrrole-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (PPY-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) in water, a PNVC-(PPY-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) composite also could be prepared.

In this article we describe the details of these preparations and the evaluation of the essential bulk properties of these composites, emphasizing that our method involves simple experimental conditions and has a wide scope, as the procedure can be readily applied to any selective combination of polymers with a specialty polymer like PPY, PANI, or PTP as one of the components. The resulting composites from such

*Correspondence to:* M. Biswas (mbnb123@rediffmail. com).

Contract grant sponsor (to Mukul Biswas): Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, New Delhi, India; contract grant number: 80(0037)/EMR-II.

Journal of Applied Polymer Science, Vol. 90, 1058–1065 (2003) © 2003 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

Entry no <sup>a</sup>			Weights (g	% PPY loading	% PNVC loading		
	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	FeCl <sub>3</sub>	Preformed PNVC <sup>d</sup>	Composite	PPY in composite	per gram of composite	per gram of composite
1	0.1	0.50		0.19	0.09	47	_
2	0.1	1.50	_	0.28	0.18	64	_
3	0.1	3.00	_	0.30	0.20	67	_
4	0.1	1.50	_	0.23	0.13	56	_
5	0.1	1.50	_	0.15	0.05	34	_
6	0.2	1.50	_	0.36	0.16	44	_
7	0.3	1.50	_	0.47	0.17	36	_
$8^{b}$	0.3	1.50	0.2	0.68	0.18	27	29
9°	0.3	1.50	0.2	0.56	0.06	10	36

 TABLE I

 Percent Conversion and Loading Data for PPY-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and PNVC-(PPY-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>)

 and PPY-(PNVC-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) Composite System

<sup>a</sup> For each set, weight of PY = 0.2 g; medium EtOH: $H_2O$  (1:1) and EtOH (30 mL) for entries 4 and 5 and  $H_2O$  (30 mL) for the rest.

<sup>b</sup> PNVC was added to a suspension of PPY-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in water.

<sup>c</sup> PY monomer was added to a suspension of PNVC–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>–FeCl<sub>3</sub> in water.

<sup>d</sup> Molecular weight of the fractionated PNVC (as determined by viscometry in benzene solution at 25°C) was 4100.

mixed polymer systems would be expected to exhibit the distinctive bulk properties of the individual polymer components.

## **EXPERIMENTAL**

## Materials

Pyrrole (Lancaster, Morecambe, England) was freshly distilled under reduced pressure. *N*-vinylcarbazole (BASF, Kurlschue, Germany) was recrystallized from predistilled *n*-hexane and stored in the dark. Nanodimensional  $Al_2O_3$  powder was prepared and donated to us by the National Metallurgical Laboratory (Jamshedpur, India). Anhydrous FeCl<sub>3</sub> (Merck, Hohenbrunn, Germany) was used as such. All other reagents and chemicals were of analytic reagent grade and used after necessary purification by standard procedures.

#### Preparation of poly(N-vinylcarbazole)

In a typical polymerization system, 2 g of NVC monomer was dissolved in 50 mL of  $CHCl_3$  to which 3 g of anhydrous FeCl<sub>3</sub> was added. The system was kept under stirring at ambient temperature for 3 h, and then the solution was poured in an excess of methanol. A dirty white precipitate was collected and dried under vacuum. The solid obtained was dissolved in an appropriate volume of  $CHCl_3$  and filtered. Pure white PNVC was obtained by repeated precipitation in methanol. Finally, the separated mass was washed repeatedly with methanol to remove all adhering substances and dried at 70°C for 5 h under vacuum.

#### Preparation of PPY-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composite

A known weight (0.1 g) of  $Al_2O_3$  in fine powdery form was added slowly to 30 mL of water with continued stirring in a stoppered conical flask to produce a stable aqueous dispersion of  $Al_2O_3$ . To this dispersion 0.2 mL of freshly distilled pyrrole was syringed slowly, followed by the addition all at once of 0.5 g of anhydrous FeCl<sub>3</sub>. After 3 h the total black mass was centrifuged at 10,500 rpm. It was washed thoroughly with distilled water until the FeCl<sub>3</sub> had been completely removed. Then the mass was again dispersed in distilled water and centrifuged. This process was repeated four times to remove all adhering substances. The separated black mass was washed repeatedly with methanol and finally dried at 70°C for 5 h under vacuum. After physicochemical characterization, this mass was confirmed to contain PPY along with Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. The experiments were repeated with different amounts of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and FeCl<sub>3</sub> (see Table I). To check the influence of solvent, polymerizations also were conducted in varying ratios of an H<sub>2</sub>O-EtOH mixture (Table I).

#### Preparation of PNVC–(PPY–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) composite

Nanodimensional  $Al_2O_3$  powder (0.3 g) was added with stirring to 30 mL of water in a stoppered conical flask to produce a stable aqueous dispersion of  $Al_2O_3$ . To it, 0.2 mL of pyrrole and 1.5 g of anhydrous FeCl<sub>3</sub> were added in succession. After 3 h a THF solution of 0.2 g of preformed PNVC (2 mL) was added dropwise to this reaction mixture. The reaction mixture was then continuously stirred at room temperature for 3 h. The total black mass of the flask was centrifuged at 10,500 rpm for 1 h. The separated mass was again dispersed in distilled water and centrifuged. This process was repeated four times in order to completely remove all adhering substances. Finally, the separated mass was washed with methanol and dried at 70°C for 10 h under vacuum.

#### Preparation of PPY-(PNVC-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) composite

 $Al_2O_3$  powder (0.3 g) was slowly stirred in 30 mL of water in a stoppered conical flask to produce a stable aqueous dispersion of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, to which 2 mL of a THF solution of 0.2 g of preformed PNVC was added. The reaction mixture was then continuously stirred at room temperature for 3 h. A definite volume (0.2 mL) of pyrrole was injected into the mixture, and 1.5 g of anhydrous FeCl<sub>3</sub> was added all at once to the system. The reaction mixture was continuously stirred for 3 h. The total black mass was centrifuged at 10,500 rpm for 1 h, and the separated mass was again dispersed in distilled water and centrifuged. This process was repeated four times in order to remove completely all adhering substances. Finally, the separated mass was washed with methanol and dried at 70°C for 10 h under vacuum.

All of both the PNVC–(PPY–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) and PPY– (PNVC–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) composites thus obtained were extracted with benzene through continuous refluxing for 3 h at 50°C. For both, the total contents were centrifuged, a process repeated at least four times until the extracts did not yield any precipitate with methanol of any surface-adsorbed PNVC. These residues were finally dried at 70°C for 10 h under vacuum. After various physicochemical characterizations, the composites were confirmed to contain PNVC along with Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and PPY.

# Characterization and evaluation of composite properties

Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra of the composites were taken with a JASCO-410 instrument. The surface morphologies of the composites were studied by taking scanning electron micrographs, using a JSM-2500 instrument. The solid samples were sputtercoated with a gold layer before taking the micrographs. The particle sizes of the composites were measured by a transmission electron microscope (Hitachi 600), with diluted suspensions (100 ppm) in isopropanol. PNVC-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and PPY-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> suspensions were sonicated for 90 min, whereas the PNVC–(PPY–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) suspension was sonicated for 4 h. Then the diluted solutions were dried on carbon-coated Cu grids. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and differential thermal analysis (DTA) were performed on a Shimadzu DT-40 instrument. Direct current (dc) conductivity measurements were taken on pressed pellets with a



**Figure 1** Variation of percent PPY loading per gram of composite with (a) weight ratio of  $[FeCl_3]$  and PY and (b) weight (g) of  $Al_2O_3$ .

silver coating, using the conventional four-probe technique.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## General features of composite formation

Table I shows some of the results for PPY–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, PPY–(PNVC–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>), and PNVC–(PPY–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) composite formation under the conditions in the present experiments. In general, as shown in Figure 1(a), the percentage conversion vis-à-vis the loading of PPY per gram of composite was found to increase sharply with an increase in the FeCl<sub>3</sub>:monomer feed ratio. Nearly 70% PPY conversion was realized at a FeCl<sub>3</sub>:PY mole ratio of 6:1.

Figure 1(b) shows that the percent of PPY loading on the composite decreased with an increasing  $Al_2O_3$ content in the initial feed at fixed PY and FeCl<sub>3</sub> concentrations. This trend was expected, as the weight percent of PPY formed was approximately the same, although the weight of  $Al_2O_3$  increased, leading to a decrease in the percent of PPY loading, calculated per gram of composite.

A comparison of entries 2, 4, and 5 indicates that water was the best medium for high-yield PPY formation, although methanol could be used at the cost of somewhat decreased PPY yield but with increased dispersion stability of the PPY–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composite.

Entries 8 and 9 represent an interesting set of results related to composite formation between PPY and PNVC with  $Al_2O_3$  in an aqueous system. Interestingly, the residual PNVC (last column, entries 8 and 9) could not be removed from the PPY–(PNVC– $Al_2O_3$ ) and PNVC–(PPY– $Al_2O_3$ ) composite surfaces despite repeated extraction with benzene, which would dissolve



Figure 2 FTIR spectrum of PPY–(PNVC–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) composite.

any homopolymer of PNVC. This observation was in line with our earlier results<sup>15</sup> and could be attributed to the stabilizing action of the acidic  $Al_2O_3$  surface on the basic PNVC moieties precipitating out onto the dispersed  $Al_2O_3$  particles. The precipitating PPY moieties would be deposited on any unoccupied dispersed  $Al_2O_3$  particles, as they also would on the already-suspended PNVC– $Al_2O_3$  moieties in the medium. Entry 8 indicates that the percent of PPY loading per gram of composite was 26% against a value of 10% (entry 9), realized with the same recipe but with different orders of addition process. Evidently, the addition of PNVC to PPY–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> dispersion resulted in a higher yield of the composite than that obtained with the addition of PY monomer to PNVC–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and FeCl<sub>3</sub> suspension. The lower extent of PPY loading per gram of composite could be a result of the availability of smaller amounts of FeCl<sub>3</sub> with which to polymerize PY monomer because of its loss of acid–base interaction between the FeCl<sub>3</sub> and PNVC moieties.

## FTIR absorption characteristics of PPY–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, PNVC–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, PPY–(PNVC–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>), and PNVC–(PPY–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) composites

Figure 2 shows the FTIR spectrum of the PPY–(PNVC– $Al_2O_3$ ) composite after refluxing with benzene for 3 h. Table II summarizes the FTIR absorption peaks for the PPY– $Al_2O_3$ , PNVC– $Al_2O_3$ , and PPY–(PNVC– $Al_2O_3$ ) composites, along with their probable assignments. FTIR absorption peaks for the binary polymer composites at 2925, 1625, 1449–1482, 1402, 1145–1203, 746, and 722 cm<sup>-1</sup> confirmed the presence of a PNVC moiety, whereas the peaks at 3280, 2350, 1555, 1059, and 791–928 cm<sup>-1</sup> confirmed the presence of a PPY moiety in the binary composite structure. Notably, the FTIR spectrum of the PNVC–(PPY– $Al_2O_3$ ) composite (not presented here) also was almost an exact match with the same for the PPY–(PNVC– $Al_2O_3$ ) composite.

#### Scanning electron micrographic characterization

Figure 3(a–d) shows scanning electron micrographs of the PPY–(PNVC–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>), PNVC–(PPY–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>), PNVC–

	1	
Composito	Observed peaks $(am^{-1})$	Assistent of realize
Composite	(cm )	Assignment of peaks
PPY-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	3309	H-bonded N–H stretching
2 0	2316	Aromatic ring vibration of pyrrole
	1530	2,5-substituted pyrrole
	1047	C—H vibration of 2,5-disubstituted pyrrole
	787–920	C—H deformation of 2,5-substituted pyrrole
PPY-(PNVC-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> )	3280	H-bonded N—H stretching
(after repeated reflux with benzene)	2925	Aromatic C—H stretching vibration
•	2350	Aromatic ring vibration of pyrrole
	1625	C=C stretching vibration of vinylidene gr
	1555	2,5-substituted pyrrole
	1449–1482	ring vibration of NVC moiety
	1402	>CH <sub>2</sub> deformation of vinylidene gr
	1145-1203	C—H in plane deformation of vinylidene gr
	1059	C—H vibration of 2,5-disubstituted pyrrole
	791–928	C—H deformation of 2,5-substituted pyrrole
	746	>CH <sub>2</sub> rocking vibration
	722	ring deformation of substituted aromatic structure
PNVC-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	724	ring deformation of substituted aromatic structure
	746	$> CH_2$ rocking vibration
	1150-1232	C—H in plane deformation of vinylidene gr
	1406	>CH <sub>2</sub> deformation of vinylidene gr
	1449–1481	ring vibration of NVC moiety
	1627	C=C stretching vibration of vinylidene gr
	2930	aromatic C—H stretching vibration

TABLE II FTIR Absorption Characteristics



**Figure 3** Scanning electron micrographs of: (a)  $PPY-(PNVC-Al_2O_3)$  nanocomposite, (b)  $PNVC-(PPY-Al_2O_3)$  nanocomposite, (c)  $PNVC-Al_2O_3$  nanocomposite, and (d)  $PPY-Al_2O_3$  nanocomposite.

Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and PPY–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composites, respectively. These micrographs were taken with the powdered composite particles without any dilution. In essence, the micrographs in Figure 3(a,b) show the presence of densely compacted aggregates of particles with the tendency to form large lumps comprising fine aggregates of particles. The largest lump in either micrograph corresponded to the sizes of 338 [Fig. 3(a)] and 265  $\mu$ m [Fig. 3(b)]. This morphological pattern was reminiscent of the typical "raspberry" morphology noted by Armes et al.<sup>19</sup> for the PPY-SiO<sub>2</sub>- and PANI-SiO<sub>2</sub>-based nanocomposites. The formation of such microaggregates through cementation of nanosized Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles with the conducting polymers also would be significant for the development of conductivity in the nanocomposites.<sup>15,16</sup> In contrast, scanning electron micrographs for PNVC-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [Fig 3(c)] and PPY-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [Fig 3(d)] revealed a preponderance of smaller-sized, less densely packed aggregates.

## Transmission electron microscopic analysis

Transmission electron micrographs of PNVC-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, PPY-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and PPY-(PNVC-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) composites are

shown in Figure 4(a-c), respectively. The appearance of dark globular particles corresponded to the formation of polymer-encapsulated Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> suspensoids. The presence of lighter-shade particles in the background of the micrographs shown in Figure 4(a,b) resulted from uncoated Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> suspensoids in the composite, implying that the polymer encapsulation was not uniform. The average particle sizes of these composites were in the nanometer range: 120-240 nm for PNVC-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> 60-100 nm for PPY-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analyses of the binary polymer composite PPY-(PNVC-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) showed lumpy aggregates after sonication for 11/2 h, as was done in the previous cases. However, after continued sonication, a TEM micrograph was eventually obtained that showed the presence of somewhat smaller spherical particles, with sizes in the 20-40 nm range. Significantly, this micrograph [Fig. 4(c)] revealed the formation of dark globular particles with no perceptible lighter regions in the background, implying that the precipitating PPY moieties encapsulated not only the free  $Al_2O_3$  sites but also the PNVC- $Al_2O_3$  sites in the composites and thereby leading to more efficient encapsulation. The micrograph of the nanodimensional Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> suspension revealed the presence of stringy par-



**Figure 4** Transmission electron micrographs of: (a) PNVC– $Al_2O_3$  nanocomposite, (b) PPY– $Al_2O_3$  nanocomposite, and (c) PPY–(PNVC– $Al_2O_3$ ) nanocomposite.

ticles.<sup>15,16</sup> The stringy morphology of the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles was thus modified to a spherical morphology on coating with PNVC [Fig. 4(a)], PPY [Fig. 4(b)], and PPY–PNVC polymer particles. A similar feature was also observed by Armes et al.<sup>17</sup> for the PPY–SiO<sub>2</sub> nanocomposite system.

#### Thermal stability characteristics

Figure 5 shows the thermograms of the PNVC–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, PNVC–(PPY–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>), PPY–(PNVC–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>), and PPY– Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composites. A comparison of the weight loss/ temperature data for the various composites suggested the following trend in the overall thermogravimetric stability of the various composites: PNVC– Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> > PNVC–(PPY–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) > PPY–(PNVC–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) > PPY–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.

PNVC is well known<sup>8</sup> for its remarkable thermal stability, which is further improved by composite formation with  $Al_2O_3$ . Because PPY and PPY- $Al_2O_3$  were found to be less thermally stable than the PNVC-based systems under discussion, it was reasonable to expect that for the binary composites involving PPY and PNVC, the overall stability would be between PNVC and PPY, as is actually shown by the results. However, the high stability of PNVC-(PPY- $Al_2O_3$ ) relative to PPY-(PNVC- $Al_2O_3$ ) could be a result of greater individual polymer loading in the former composite (entries 8 and 9, Table I).



**Figure 5** TGA scan of (a)  $PNVC-Al_2O_3$  composite, (b)  $PNVC-(PPY-Al_2O_3)$  composite, (c)  $PPY-(PNVC-Al_2O_3)$  composite, and (d) ( $PPY-Al_2O_3$ ) composite.

DTA scans for the various composites showed broad exothermic peaks at comparable temperatures: PNVC–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 430°C; PPY–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 440°C; PNVC–(PPY–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>), 400°C; and PPY–(PNVC–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>), 420°C. This trend implied that all these peaks, corresponded to the region of maximum weight loss in these composites, resulting from a common oxidative degradation process in the polymers in the composites.

## **Conductivity characteristics**

Table III summarizes the conductivity data of the prepared composites along with some literature data on conductivity of several related composite systems.

TABLE III Conductivity Data of Various Inorganic Oxide-Based Nanocomposites of PPY and PNVC

Composites	Conductivity (S/cm)	Reference
1. PPY-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> <sup>a</sup>	$2.8 imes10^{-4}$	This study
2. PNVC–(PPY–Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> ) <sup>a</sup>	$1.7 imes10^{-5}$	This study
3. PPY–(PNVC– $Al_2O_3$ ) <sup>a</sup>	$4.48  imes 10^{-6}$	This study
4. PNVC–Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	$0.14 imes10^{-6}$	15
5. $PPY-MnO_2$	$0.92 \times 10^{-2}$	13
6. $PPY-SiO_2$	$2 imes 10^{-5}$	19
7. PPY–MMT	$8.3  imes 10^{-5}$	11
8. PPY–SnO <sub>2</sub>	2-0.6	20
9. $PPY-ZrO_2$	1–15	21

<sup>a</sup> Samples were doped with anhydrous  $\text{FeCl}_3$  for 9 days.



**Figure 6** Variation of dispersion stability (h) of PPY-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composite with weight (g) of  $Al_2O_3$ .

Entries 1 and 2 indicate that the conductivity of the PNVC–(PPY–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) composite was lower than that of the PPY–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> base polymer. Evidently, this was because of the coating of the PPY–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composite particles by less conductive PNVC moieties. It is of interest that the PNVC–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composite's conductivity was significantly higher than was the same for PNVC  $(10^{-10}-10^{-12} \text{ S/cm})^{.13}$ 

A comparison of entries 2 and 3 indicates that the conductivity of the PNVC-coated PPY-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composite was fourfold higher than that of the PPY-coated PNVC-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composite. Finally, entries 3 and 4 indicate that the PPY-coated PNVC-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> had a dc conductivity value 32-fold higher than that of the PNVC-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composite.

In general,  $Al_2O_3$ -based composites had lower conductivity values than the MnO<sub>2</sub>, SnO<sub>2</sub>, and ZrO<sub>2</sub> composites. Accordingly, binary composites based on ZrO<sub>2</sub> perhaps should be more promising.

# Water dispersibility of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-based nanocomposites

The stability of the PPY–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composite in a water medium was found to increase with an increasing weight of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in the initial feed, as shown in Figure 6. This trend was consistent with the stabilizing action of nanosized Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles on the precipitating PPY moieties in the aqueous medium. It is reasonable to expect that the larger the concentration of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles in the medium, the higher would be the stability of the polymer–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composites.

The results summarized in Table IV lead to the following conclusions:

1. In the presence of PNVC, the dispersion stability of the PPY–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composites decreased (compare entries 1 and 2).

- 2. In the presence of PPY, the dispersion stability of the PNVC–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composite increased significantly (compare entries 3 and 4).
- In the presence of polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP), an externally added polymeric stabilizer, the PPY-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (entry 5), PNVC-(PPY-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) (entry 6), and PPY-(PNVC-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) (entry 7) composites produced permanently stable dispersions in an aqueous medium.

A general comparison of the dispersion stability characteristics already reported for some PNVC-based composites with  $SiO_{2,}^{12} MnO_{2,}^{13}$  and  $ZrO_{2,}^{14}$  and with an  $Al_2O_3^{15}$ -based system leads to no simple generalization except that in the presence of PVP—an externally added polymeric stabilizer—the PNVC-based suspensions exhibited almost permanent dispersion stability.

Biswas and SinhaRay observed<sup>13</sup> that nanodimensional MnO<sub>2</sub> produced the most stable PNVC suspension in water compared to ZrO<sub>2</sub>-,<sup>14</sup> SiO<sub>2</sub>-,<sup>12</sup> and MMT<sup>7</sup>-based composites of PNVC. The last two oxidebased systems required PVP to obtain a stable disper-

TABLE IV Dispersion Stability of Various Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-Based Composites in Aqueous/Methanol Medium

Conditions applied for obtaining aqueous dispersion	Observation
1) Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> was added to water and stirred for 2 h; PY and FeCl <sub>3</sub> were added serially and stirred magnetically for 3 h	Dispersion stable up to 36 h and redispersible
2) THF solution (2 mL) of preformed PNVC was added to PPY–Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> aqueous suspension and then stirred magnetically for 3 h.	Dispersion stable up to 29 h
3) Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> was added to water and stirred for 2 h; then THF solution of preformed PNVC was added dropwise and stirred magnetically for 3 h.	Dispersion stable up to 30 min
4) PY monomer was injected to PNVC-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> -FeCl <sub>3</sub> aqueous suspension and then stirred magnetically for 3 b.	Dispersion stable up to 20 h
5) $Al_2O_3$ was added to an aqueous solution of PVP and stirred for 2 h; PY and FeCl <sub>3</sub> were added serially and stirred magnetically for 3 h.	Permanently stable dispersion that was redispersible
6) THF solution (2 mL) of preformed PNVC was added to PPY–Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> aqueous suspension in the presence of PVP and then stirred magnetically for 3 h.	Permanently stable dispersion and redispersible
7) PY monomer was injected into PNVC-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> -FeCl <sub>3</sub> aqueous suspension in the presence of PVP and then stirred magnetically stirred for 3 h.	Permanently stable dispersion and redispersible

sion. It is significant that nanodimensional  $Al_2O_3$  produced a PNVC composite that retained its stability for almost 40 h (Fig. 6).

Unfortunately, these data fail to suggest any definite trend for the role of oxides in conferring stability on a PNVC suspension in water. In fact, as pointed out by Armes et al.,<sup>1</sup> several factors, including preadsorption characteristics of the reacting species on the oxide surface, high surface area, and surface charge on the oxide surface, might be relevant factors controlling the overall stability of the polymer–metal oxide suspensions. However, no definite conclusion on the polymer suspension stability vis-à-vis the nature of the metal oxide dispersant can be drawn from the information available now.

## CONCLUSIONS

This article has presented a simple method for preparing water-dispersible nanocomposites of mixed specialty polymers based on PNVC and PPY by depositing PNVC on a PPY–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> dispersion and PPY on a PNVC–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> dispersion in water. These materials possess high thermal stability and exhibit conductivity characteristics somewhat different from the individual polymers involved in the composite formation.

We are grateful to the head of the Department of Chemistry and to the principal, Presidency College, Calcutta, India, for facilities; to Dr. H. S. Maiti, Central Glass and Ceramic Research Institute (CG&CRI), Calcutta, India, for useful discussions and help with the conductivity measurements; and to Mr. P. Ray, Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics (SINP), Calcutta, India, for TEM analyses.

## References

- Stejskal, J.; Kratochvil, P.; Armes, S. P.; Lascelles, S.F.; Riede, A.; Helmstedt, M.; Frokes, J.; Krivka, I. Macromolecules 1996, 29, 6814.
- 2. Armes, S. P.; Gottesfeld, S.; Berry, J. G.; Garzon, F.; Agnew, S. F. Polymer 1992, 32, 2325.
- 3. Maeda, S.; Armes, S. P. Synth Met 1995, 73, 155.
- 4. Maeda, S.; Gill, M.; Armes, S. P. Chem Mater 1995, 11, 1959.
- 5. Tadros, P.; Armes, S. P.; Luk, S. K. J Mater Chem 1992, 2, 125.
- 6. Maeda, S.; Armes, S. P. J Mater Chem 1994, 4, 935.
- 7. Biswas, M.; SinhaRay, S. Polymer 1998, 39, 6423.
- 8. Biswas, M.; SinhaRay, S. Adv Polmer Sci 2001, 155, 167.
- 9. SinhaRay, S.; Biswas, M. J Appl Polym Sci 1999, 73, 2971.
- 10. SinhaRay, S.; Biswas, M. J Appl Polym Sci 2000, 77, 2948.
- 11. SinhaRay, S.; Biswas, M. Met Res Bull 1999, 34, 1187.
- 12. SinhaRay, S.; Biswas, M. Met Res Bull 1998, 33, 533.
- 13. Biswas, M.; SinhaRay, S.; Liu, Y. Synth Met 1999, 105, 99.
- 14. SinhaRay, S.; Biswas, M. Synth Met 2000, 108, 231.
- 15. Maity, A.; Biswas, M. J Appl Polym Sci 2002, 88, 2233.
- 16. Ballav, N.; Biswas, M. Polym Int 2003, 52, 179.
- Rebecca, F.; Jagdeep, J.; Shuichi, M.; Armes, S. P. J Colloid Interface Sci 1995, 173, 135.
- 18. Maeda, S.; Armes, S. P. Chem Met 1995, 7, 171
- 19. Maeda, S.; Gill, M.; Armes, S. P. Langmuir 1995, 11, 1899.
- Bhattacharyya, A.; Ganguly, K. M.; De, A.; Sakar, S. Mat Res Bull 1996, 31, 527.